

GENERAL GUIDANCE ON RISK MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS FOR CHEMICAL ACCIDENT PREVENTION (40 CFR PART 68)



ABOUT THIS DOCUMENT

This document provides guidance to help owners and operators of facilities that manufacture, use, store or otherwise handle certain extremely hazardous chemicals to determine if they are subject to EPA's Chemical Accident Prevention regulations at 40 CFR part 68 and, if so, to comply with those regulations. The discussion in this document is intended solely as guidance. The statutory provisions and EPA regulations described in this document contain legally binding requirements. This document is not a regulation itself, nor does it change or substitute for those provisions and regulations. Thus, it does not impose legally binding requirements on EPA, States, or the regulated community. This guidance does not confer legal rights or impose legal obligations upon any member of the public.

While EPA has made every effort to ensure the accuracy of the discussion in this guidance, the obligations of the regulated community are determined by statutes, regulations, or other legally binding requirements. In the event of a conflict between the discussion in this document and any statute or regulation, this document would not be controlling.

Interested parties are free to raise questions and concerns about the substance of this guidance. This is a living document and may be revised periodically without public notice. EPA welcomes public input on this document at any time.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | <u>Page</u> |
|------------|---|
| INTRODUCT | IONi |
| CHAPTER 1: | GENERAL APPLICABILITY1-1 |
| 1.1 | INTRODUCTION |
| 1.2 | GENERAL PROVISIONS |
| 1.3 | REGULATED SUBSTANCES AND THRESHOLDS (§68.130) |
| 1.4 | WHAT IS A PROCESS |
| 1.5 | THRESHOLD QUANTITY IN A PROCESS |
| 1.6 | STATIONARY SOURCE |
| 1.7 | WHEN YOU MUST COMPLY |
| CHAPTER 2: | APPLICABILITY OF PROGRAM LEVELS |
| 2.1 | WHAT ARE PROGRAM LEVELS? |
| 2.1 | PROGRAM 1 |
| 2.3 | QUICK RULES FOR DETERMINING PROGRAM 1 ELIGIBILITY |
| 2.4 | PROGRAM 3 |
| 2.5 | PROGRAM 2 |
| 2.6 | DEALING WITH PROGRAM LEVELS |
| 2.7 | SUMMARY OF PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS |
| 2.8 | EXAMPLE SOURCES |
| CHAPTER 3: | FIVE-YEAR ACCIDENT HISTORY |
| | |
| 3.1 | WHAT ACCIDENTS MUST BE REPORTED? |
| 3.2 | WHAT DATA MUST BE PROVIDED? |
| 3.3 | WHEN MUST ACCIDENTS BE REPORTED? |
| 3.4 | OTHER ACCIDENT REPORTING REQUIREMENTS |
| CHAPTER 4: | OFFSITE CONSEQUENCE ANALYSIS |
| 4.1 | INTRODUCTION |
| 4.2 | WORST-CASE RELEASE SCENARIOS |
| 4.3 | ALTERNATIVE RELEASE SCENARIOS |
| 4.4 | ESTIMATING OFFSITE RECEPTORS |
| 4.5 | EXAMPLES OF WORST CASE SCENARIOS |
| 4.6 | EXAMPLES OF ALTERNATIVE RELEASES |
| CHAPTER 5: | MANAGEMENT SYSTEM |
| 5.1 | GENERAL INFORMATION |
| 5.2 | HOW TO MEET THE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(Continued)

| | <u>Page</u> |
|--------------|--|
| CHAPTER 6: | PREVENTION PROGRAM (PROGRAM 2) |
| 6.1 | ABOUT THE PROGRAM 2 PREVENTION PROGRAM 6-1 |
| 6.2 | SAFETY INFORMATION (§ 68.48) |
| 6.3 | HAZARD REVIEW (§ 68.50) |
| 6.4 | OPERATING PROCEDURES (§ 68.52) |
| 6.5 | TRAINING (§ 68.54) |
| 6.6 | MAINTENANCE (§ 68.56) |
| 6.7 | COMPLIANCE AUDITS (§ 68.58) |
| 6.8 | INCIDENT INVESTIGATION (§ 68.60) |
| 6.9 | CONCLUSION |
| CHAPTER 7: | PREVENTION PROGRAM (PROGRAM 3) |
| 7.1 | PROGRAM 3 PREVENTION PROGRAM AND OSHA PSM |
| 7.1 | |
| | PROCESS SAFETY INFORMATION (§68.65) |
| 7.3 | PROCESS HAZARD ANALYSIS (§68.67) |
| 7.4 | OPERATING PROCEDURES (§68.69) |
| 7.5 7.6 | TRAINING (§68.71) |
| 7.6 7.7 | MECHANICAL INTEGRITY (§68.73) |
| 7.7 | MANAGEMENT OF CHANGE (§68.75) |
| 7.8 7.9 | PRE-STARTUP REVIEW (§68.77) |
| 7.9 7.10 | COMPLIANCE AUDITS (§68.79) |
| 7.10 7.11 | INCIDENT INVESTIGATION (\$68.81) |
| 7.11 | EMPLOYEE PARTICIPATION (§68.83) |
| 7.12 | HOT WORK PERMITS (§68.85) |
| 7.13 | CONTRACTORS (§68.87) |
| CHAPTER 8: | EMERGENCY RESPONSE PROGRAM |
| 0.4 | NOVERTONING THE OWNER (A. CO. AAAA.) |
| | NON-RESPONDING FACILITIES (§ 68.90(b)) |
| 8.2 | ELEMENTS OF AN EMERGENCY RESPONSE PROGRAM (§ 68.95) 8-2 |
| 8.3 | DEVELOPING AN EMERGENCY RESPONSE PROGRAM |
| 8.4 | INTEGRATION OF EXISTING PROGRAMS8-9 |
| 8.5 | HAVE I MET PART 68 REQUIREMENTS? |
| 8.6 | COORDINATION WITH LOCAL EMERGENCY PLANNING ENTITIES (§ 68.95(c)) |
| | |
| CHAPTER 9: | RISK MANAGEMENT PLAN9-1 |
| 9.1 | ELEMENTS OF THE RMP |
| 9.2 | RMP SUBMISSION9-3 |
| 9.3 | ISSUES PERTAINING TO SUBMISSIONS OF AND ACCESS TO CLASSIFIED, |
| | CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION (CBI), AND TRADE SECRETS 9-4 |
| 9.4 | RMP UPDATES, CORRECTIONS AND DE-REGISTRATIONS (§ 68.190)9-5 |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(Continued)

| | <u>Page</u> |
|------------|--|
| CHAPTER 10 | 0: IMPLEMENTATION |
| | |
| 10.1 | IMPLEMENTING AGENCY |
| 10.2 | REVIEWS/AUDITS/INSPECTIONS (§ 68.220) |
| 10.3 | RELATIONSHIP WITH TITLE V PERMIT PROGRAMS 10-4 |
| 10.4 | PENALTIES FOR NON-COMPLIANCE |
| CHAPTER 1 | 1: COMMUNICATION WITH THE PUBLIC11-1 |
| 11.1 | BASIC RULES OF RISK COMMUNICATION |
| 11.2 | SAMPLE QUESTIONS FOR COMMUNICATING WITH THE PUBLIC |
| 11.3 | COMMUNICATION ACTIVITIES AND TECHNIQUES |
| 11.4 | FOR MORE INFORMATION |
| APPENDICE | S |
| | 40 CED DIDE (0 |
| | 40 CFR PART 68 |
| | SELECTED 2002 NAICS CODES |
| _ | TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE |
| | OSHA GUIDANCE ON PSM |
| APPENDIX E | SUPPLEMENTAL GUIDANCE FOR AMMONIA REFRIGERATION FACILITIES (FORTHCOMING) |
| APPENDIX F | SUPPLEMENTAL GUIDANCE FOR WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANTS |
| | (FORTHCOMING) |
| APPENDIX G | S SUPPLEMENTAL GUIDANCE FOR WAREHOUSES AND CHEMICAL |
| | DISTRIBUTORS (FORTHCOMING) |
| APPENDIX H | SUPPLEMENTAL GUIDANCE FOR PROPANE STORAGE FACILITIES |
| | (FORTHCOMING) |

LIST OF BOXES AND EXHIBITS IN RMP GUIDANCE

LIST OF EXHIBITS

| CHAPTER 1 EXHIBIT 1-1 | EVALUATE FACILITY TO IDENTIFY COVERED PROCESSES |
|----------------------------|--|
| EXHIBIT 1-2 EXHIBIT 1-3 | |
| CHAPTER 2 EXHIBIT 2-1 | EVALUATE PROGRAM LEVELS FOR COVERED PROCESS |
| EXHIBIT 2-2 | PROGRAM LEVEL CRITERIA |
| | DEVELOP RISK MANAGEMENT PROGRAM AND RMP |
| | COMPARISON OF PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS |
| CHAPTER 3 | |
| EXHIBIT 3-1 | ATMOSPHERIC STABILITY CLASSES |
| CHAPTER 4 | CONGINED ATIONS FOR CHOOSING A MODELING METHOD |
| EXHIBIT 4-1 | CONSIDERATIONS FOR CHOOSING A MODELING METHOD |
| EXHIBIT 4-2 | POSSIBLE SOURCES OF ASSISTANCE ON MODELING |
| EXHIBIT 4-3 | REQUIRED PARAMETERS FOR MODELING WORST-CASE SCENARIOS |
| EXHIBIT 4-4 | REQUIRED PARAMETERS FOR MODELING ALTERNATIVE SCENARIOS |
| CHAPTER 5 | |
| EXHIBIT 5-1 | SAMPLE MANAGEMENT DOCUMENTATION |
| CHAPTER 6 | |
| EXHIBIT 6-1 | SUMMARY OF PROGRAM 2 PREVENTION PROGRAM |
| EXHIBIT 6-2 | SAFETY INFORMATION REQUIREMENTS |
| EXHIBIT 6-3 | CODES AND STANDARDS |
| EXHIBIT 6-4 | SAMPLE SAFETY INFORMATION SHEET |
| EXHIBIT 6-5 | HAZARD REVIEW REQUIREMENTS |
| EXHIBIT 6-6 EXHIBIT 6-7 | SAMPLE CHECKLIST (EXTRACT) |
| | OPERATING PROCEDURES REQUIREMENTS TRAINING CHART |
| | MAINTENANCE GUIDELINES |
| | SAMPLE AUDIT CHECKLIST FOR SAFETY INFORMATION AND HAZARD |
| | REVIEW |
| | INCIDENT INVESTIGATION REQUIREMENTS |
| EXHIBIT 6-12 | SAMPLE INCIDENT INVESTIGATION FORMAT |
| CHAPTER 7 | |
| EXHIBIT 7-1 | COMPARABLE EPA AND OSHA TERMS |
| EXHIBIT 7-2 | |
| EXHIBIT 7-3 | PROCESS SAFETY INFORMATION REQUIREMENTS |
| EXHIBIT 7-4 | PROCESS HAZARD ANALYSIS REQUIREMENTS |
| EXHIBIT 7-5 | OPERATING PROCEDURES REQUIREMENTS MECHANICAL INTEGRITY CHART |
| EXHIBIT 7-6 | MECHANICAL INTEGRITY CHART |
| EXHIBIT 7-7 | MANAGEMENT OF CHANGE REQUIREMENTS PRE-STARTUP REVIEW REQUIREMENTS |
| · A D I D I I /- * | ENULATED LUC REVIEW RELITIES ENTENTS |

EXHIBIT 7-9 INCIDENT INVESTIGATION REQUIREMENTS

LIST OF BOXES AND EXHIBITS IN RMP GUIDANCE

(Continued)

| EXHIBIT 7-10 | EMPLOYEE PARTICIPATION REQUIREMENTS |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|
| EXHIBIT 7-11 | HOT WORK PERMITS REQUIREMENTS |
| EXHIBIT 7-12 | CONTRACTORS CHART |
| EXHIBIT 7A-1 | APPLICABILITY OF PHA TECHNIQUES |
| EXHIBIT 7A-2 | TIME AND STAFFING FOR PHA TECHNIQUES |

CHAPTER 8

| EXHIBIT 8-1 | FEDERAL GUIDANCE ON EMERGENCY PLANNING AND RESPONSE |
|-------------|---|
| EXHIBIT 8-2 | FEDERAL EMERGENCY PLANNING REGULATIONS |
| EXHIBIT 8-3 | INTEGRATED CONTINGENCY PLAN OUTLINE |
| EXHIBIT 8-4 | SAMPLE INTEGRATION EFFORT |

CHAPTER 9

EXHIBIT 9-1 RMP UPDATES, CORRECTIONS AND DE-REGISTRATIONS

CHAPTER 11

EXHIBIT 11-1 SEVEN CARDINAL RULES OF RISK COMMUNICATION

LIST OF BOXES AND EXHIBITS IN RMP GUIDANCE

(Continued)

LIST OF BOXES

INTRODUCTION

GUIDANCE FOR INDUSTRY-SPECIFIC RISK MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS STATE PROGRAMS
IF YOU ARE NEW TO REGULATIONS
WHAT IS A LOCAL EMERGENCY PLANNING COMMITTEE?

CHAPTER 1

STATE PROGRAMS

Qs AND As: STATIONARY SOURCE AGGREGATION OF SUBSTANCES

Qs AND As: PROCESS

Qs AND As: STATIONARY SOURCE Qs AND As: COMPLIANCE DATES

CHAPTER 2

Qs AND As: PROCESS AND PROGRAM LEVEL

Qs AND As: PUBLIC RECEPTORS

Qs AND As: DETERMINING DISTANCES Qs AND As: ENVIRONMENTAL RECEPTORS

Qs AND As: ACCIDENT HISTORY

Qs AND As: OSHA

CHAPTER 3

Qs AND As: PROPERTY DAMAGE Qs AND As: ACCIDENT HISTORY

CHAPTER 4

RMP OFFSITE CONSEQUENCE ANALYSIS GUIDANCE Qs AND As: WORST-CASE AND MITIGATION HOW TO OBTAIN CENSUS DATA AND LANDVIEW HOW TO OBTAIN USGS MAPS Qs AND As: OFFSITE CONSEQUENCE ANALYSIS EXAMPLES OF WORST CASE SCENARIOS

EXAMPLES OF WORST CASE SCENARIOS EXAMPLES OF ALTERNATIVE RELEASES

CHAPTER 6

Q AND A: MAINTENANCE

Q AND A: AUDITS

CHAPTER 7

Qs AND As: IMPLEMENTATION AND PROGRAM LEVEL

Qs AND As: PROCESS SAFETY INFORMATION

Q AND A: "REVISING" A PHA

Qs AND As: OFFSITE CONSEQUENCES

LIST OF BOXES AND EXHIBITS IN RMP GUIDANCE

(Continued)

CHAPTER 8

WHAT IS "RESPONSE"?
WHAT IS A LOCAL EMERGENCY PLANNING COMMITTEE?
HOW DOES THE EMERGENCY RESPONSE PROGRAM APPLY?
PLANNING FOR FLAMMABLE SUBSTANCES

CHAPTER 10

Qs AND As: DELEGATION Qs AND As: AUDITS

CHAPTER 11

WHAT DOES YOUR WORST-CASE "DISTANCE TO ENDPOINT" OR RELEASE DISTANCE MEAN?

WHAT DOES IT MEAN THAT WE COULD BE EXPOSED IF WE LIVE/WORK/SHOP/GO TO SCHOOL X MILES AWAY?

IF THERE IS A WORST-CASE ACCIDENT, WILL EVERYONE WITHIN THAT DISTANCE BE HURT? WHAT ABOUT PROPERTY DAMAGE?

HOW SURE ARE YOU OF YOUR ENDPOINT DISTANCES?

WHY DO YOU NEED TO STORE SO MUCH ON SITE?

WHAT ARE YOU DOING TO PREVENT RELEASES?

WHAT ARE YOU DOING TO PREPARE FOR RELEASES?

DO YOU NEED TO USE THIS CHEMICAL?

WHY ARE YOUR DISTANCES DIFFERENT FROM THE DISTANCES IN THE EPA LOOKUP TABLES?

 $HOW\ LIKELY\ ARE\ THE\ WORST-CASE\ AND\ ALTERNATIVE\ RELEASE\ SCENARIOS?$

IS THE WORST-CASE RELEASE YOU REPORTED REALLY THE WORST ACCIDENT YOU CAN HAVE?

WHAT ABOUT THE ACCIDENT AT THE [NAME OF SIMILAR FACILITY] THAT HAPPENED LAST MONTH?

WHAT ACTIONS HAVE YOU TAKEN TO INVOLVE THE COMMUNITY IN YOUR ACCIDENT PREVENTION AND EMERGENCY PLANNING EFFORTS?

CAN WE SEE THE DOCUMENTATION YOU KEEP ON SITE?